

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/881,462 06/14/2001		Sharon T. Johnson		7526		
75	590 08/21/2003					
Michael R. M	cKenna	EXAMINER				
Suite 3800 500 West Madison			CHEN, JOSE V			
Chicago, IL 60	0661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3637			
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)	\rightarrow				
		09/881,462		JOHNSON, SHARON T.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		José V. Chen		3637					
Period f	The MAILING DATE f this communication app r R ply	ears on the cove	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeverther the statutory minuit apply and will expire to cause the application to the statutory.	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	oly filed will be considered timely ne mailing date of this or (35 U.S.C. § 133).		ı.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	lune 2003 .							
2a)□	•	is action is non-f	nal						
3)	<i>i</i> —								
Disposit	ion of Claims	- // /							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	١.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approv	ed b)∐ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office ac	tion.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	4				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		l applicatio	on)			
	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicati	on has been rece	eived.					
Attachmen	•	privity under t		GIIGIVI IÆT.					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/881,462 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

In response to papers filed 06-10-03, note the following. The office Action mailed 02-25-03 inadvertently included an impartial 35 USC 102 rejection (see the incomplete body of such rejection, top of page 2 of the Office Action). The new rejection was the new rejection of claims 1-13 of King in view of Woy (see bottom of page 2 of the Office action). Since the inclusion of the impartial 102 rejection caused confusion, the following non-final rejection of the claims is taken. Any inconvenience is regretted. It is further noted that the examiner has no record of a request of a telephone conference on May 7, 2003 and if such a request was made, a conference would be granted as it is the practice of the examiner to grant such a request. In this regard, any inconvenience is again regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,462

Art Unit: 3637

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-13are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Woy. The patent to King teaches structure substantially as claimed including an elevated desk with a work surface (A), storage surface(b), base (B2), peripheral wall (B1) face and peripheral wall the only difference being that the structures are not transparent. However, the use of transparent structure to provide a display purpose is a matter of privacy desired. Further, the patent to Woy teaches the use of providing transparent structural surface to provide a display. It would have been obvious and well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of King to include transparent surfaces, as taught by Woy and within the level of ordinary skill in the art, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of Woy as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Lamm. The patent to King in view of Woy teaches structure substantially as claimed including support structure the only difference being that the support does not include three legs. However, the patent to Lamm teaches the use of providing a particular number of supports to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of King to include three supporting structure, as taught by Lamm since such structures are conventional alternative supporting structure used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Art Unit: 3637

R sp ns to Argum nts

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3229. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703)308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2168.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 08-20-03